| RESULTS | INDICATORS | TARGET/SOURCE | Monitoring Freqency | Monitoring Officer | Accountable Person |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Impact** | **Impact indicator 1** | | | | |
| Global population, including the UK, safer and more secure from global health security threats | National policies &/or plans &/or formal guidance that supports effective preparedness, response and capacity development either exists or are in development in partner countries/RST supported regional or international bodies | **Target** At least 1 key policy, plan, formal guidance that supports global health security nationally, regionally or internationally is developed or in development in RST supported countries/RST supported institutions. | Semi-annually | Director | Director |
| | | **Source** Feedback from partners Evidence of policies, plans, formal guidance documents | | | |
| | **Impact Indicator 2** | | | | |
| | Proportion (%) of countries supported by RST with established systems (combination of infrastructure/ trained personnel/protocols/communication strategies/MEL plans, etc.) and/or plans for effective preparedness, response and continuous applied learning. | **Target** 50% of RST supported countries can evidence infrastructure, personnel and learning systems either in place or have plans to develop or upgrade existing systems | Quarterly | Director | Director |
| | | **Source** Feedback from partners; monitoring of targeted national dialogue, policy papers, policies on the subject of the research findings; other documented strategic decision-making relating to the research. | | | |
| **Outcome 1** | **Outcome indicator 1.1** | | | | |
| UK-PHRST contributes effectively as part of wider outbreak response through technical collaboration with partner agencies | Proportion (%) UK-PHRST deployments where partner institutions (GOARN/regional/national MoH/PHIs etc.) report positive contribution by UK-PHRST. | **Target** ≥ 90% of deployments have a positive UK-PHRST contribution as reported by partners. | Quarterly | DDO | Director |
| | | **Source** Monitoring and evaluation surveys; feedback forms from partners; WHO End of Deployment Evaluation forms; After Action Review | | | |
| **Outcome 2** | **Outcome indicator 2.1** | | | | |
| Outbreak preparedness and response policies and practices informed by UK-PHRST and partner research findings and tools | Cumulative number of partners who have utilised UK-PHRST research findings and tools to inform policies, strategies or ways of working; and the proportion who report it to be very useful/useful | **Target** ≥ 3 UK-PHRST partners have policies, strategies or ways of working in place or in development that have been informed by UK-PHRST research findings; At least 80% of participants report this as very useful/useful. | Quarterly | DDR | Director |
| | | **Source** Case study reports Partner surveys; evaluation reports Citations in partner organisations literature/guidance/SOPs Government and partner policy | | | |
| **Outcome 3** | **Outcome indicator 3.1** | | | | |
| Improved outbreak prepardness and response capacities in ODA-eligible countries and the UK | Cumulative number of partners from ODA-eligible countries that report an increase in oubtbreak preparedness and reponse capacities through knowledge, skills and tools gained through engagement with UK-PHRST; and the proportion who report this as very useful/useful. | **Target** ≥4 of ODA eligible partners report increase in outbreak response capacity as a result of UK-PHRST co-developed resources; At least 80% of participant report it as very useful/useful | Quarterly | DDO/DDR | Director |
| | | **Source** Case study reports; Partner surveys evaluations reports; citation in partner organisation literature/guidance/SOPs Government and partner policy. | | | |
| **Output 1** | **Output indicator 1.1** | | | | |
| Ensure sufficient capacity within the UK-PHRST and timely deployment UK technical experts to support partner agencies with outbreak response | Proportion of formal requests for assistance (via GOARN, host government, EMT) that are approved within 48 hours by HMG, with appropriately qualified staff ready to deploy. | **Target** 100% of team is trained and ready to deploy, including core team, reservists and FETP fellows for >90% of such requests; 100% formal requests are approved within 48 hours. | Quarterly | DDO | Director |
| | | **Source** Monitoring and evaluation of outbreak responses and evaluation of training through fellow progress reports Training certificates and feedback from partners. | | | |
| | **Output indicator 1.2** | | | | |
| | Cumulative number of co-designed deliverables from UK-PHRST deployments (e.g. tools, guidelines, reports, etc.); and | **Target** | Quarterly | DDO | Director |

Updated 27 April 2018

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | the proportion of partners who report this as very useful/useful. | **Target**<br>1 co-designed deliverable/Q; At least 80% of partners report it as very useful/useful<br><br>**Source**<br>Membership TOR, minutes<br>Technical guidance docs<br>Post deployment debriefs (for country/regional level). | | | |
| **Output 2** | **Output indicator 2.1** | | | | |
| Conduct research to build the evidence base for outbreak prevention and response | Research strategy available and being implemented | **Target**<br>Research strategy is produced; Strategic priorities/objectives being implemented and achieved in a timely manner in accordance with plan<br>**Source**<br><br>TSC meeting minutes<br>Research reports<br>Research protocols<br>Impact case studies<br>Strengthening governance structures for research evidenced through revised ToRs and membership | Quarterly | DDR | Director |
| | **Output indicator 2.2** | | | | |
| | Increase in research capacity of the UK-PHRST through addition of new acaedmic research partners; and the proportion who report this as very useful/useful | **Target**<br>≥2 new research projects undertaken involving academic colloborators; At least 80% of partners report it as very useful/useful<br>**Source**<br>Research projects progress reports<br>TSC minutes research proposals | Quarterly | DDR | Director |
| | **Output indicator 2.3** | | | | |
| | Cumulative number of research projects co-designed and co-delivered with partners; and the proportion who report this as very useful/useful. | **Target**<br>8 research projects co-designed and/or co-delivered with partners (4 with UK partners & 4 with partners LMIC partners); At least 80% of partners report this<br>**Source**<br>TSC meeting minutes<br>Approved Rapid Research in response proposals<br>Research proposals<br>Reports/dissemination | Quarterly | DDR | Director |
| | **Output indicator 2.4** | | | | |
| | Cumulative number of UK-PHRST research and evidence on opotimal approaches to outbreak response that are shared through a variety of forums including publications, conferences, webinars, etc.; and the proportion who report this as very useful/useful | **Target**<br>At least 12 outputs on optimal approaches/year shared; At least 80% of partners report it as very useful/useful<br>**Source**<br>Research projects progress reports<br>Annual review<br>Presentations<br>Conference agendas<br>Journal articles<br>Submitted abstracts. | Quarterly | DDR | Director |
| **Output 3** | **Output indicator 3.1** | | | | |
| Technical collaboration and capacity development in outbreak preparedness and response | Cumulative number of international technical working groups, networks and communities of practice with representation from partners from ODA-eligible countries of which the UK-PHRST is a participant; and the proportion of partners who report this as very usefu/useful | **Target**<br>>8 meetings or events per quarter; At least 80% of partners report it (UK contribution) as very useful/useful<br><br>**Source**<br>UK-PHRST meeting representation records, meeting minutes, agendas, and conference and event agenda and participant lists, working group membership | Quarterly | DDO/DDR | Director |
| | **Output indicator 3.2** | | | | |
| | Cumulative number of learning-oriented sessions (e.g. workshops, After Action Reviews, joint deployments feedback, webinars etc. that involve active participation/input from at least one ODA-eligible partner; and the proportion who report this as very useful/useful | **Target**<br>≥6 learning sessions; At least 80% of partners report it as very useful/useful<br><br>**Source** | Quarterly | DDO/DDR | Director |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | After Action Reviews; partner feedback<br>Meeting notes and feedback<br>End of Mission reports<br>Workshop reports and presentations<br>Conference and event TORs; | | | |
| **Output indicator 3.3** | | | | |
| Proportion (%) of participants from ODA-eligible countries who have completed UK-PHRST formal training courses (including online courses and webinars e.g. MOOCs), led or contributed to by UK-PHRST members; and the proportion who report this as very useful/useful | **Target** | Quarterly | DDO/DDR | Director |
| | >75% of participants from ODA countries complete online UK-PHRST course once registered; At least 80% of partners report it as very useful/useful | | | |
| | **Source** | | | |
| | Training records<br>Reports from MOOCs and other online courses/webinars; partner feedback | | | |
| **Output indicator 3.4** | | | | |
| Cumulative number of new tools or resources developed and shared with partners; and the proportion who report this as very useful/useful | **Target** | Quarterly | DDO/DDR | Director |
| | 6 new tools or resources developed and shared; At least 80% of partners report it as very useful/useful | | | |
| | **Source** | | | |
| | UK-PHRST tools and resource and dissemination records/evidence (e.g. emails, newsletter, website, TGHN) | | | |